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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Background: Hepato- and nephrotoxicity of fluoride have been demonstrated in animals, but few studies have examined potential effects in humans. This population-based study examines the relationship between chronic low-level fluoride exposure and kidney and liver function among United States (U.S.) adolescents. This study aimed to evaluate whether greater fluoride exposure is associated with altered kidney and liver parameters among U.S. youth.

Methods: This cross-sectional study utilized data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013–2016). We analyzed data from 1983 and 1742 adolescents who had plasma and water fluoride measures respectively and did not have kidney disease. Fluoride was measured in plasma and household tap water. Kidney parameters included estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated by the original Schwartz formula), serum uric acid, and the urinary albumin to creatinine ratio. Liver parameters were assessed in serum and included alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, blood urea nitrogen, gamma-glutamyl transferase, and albumin. Survey-weighted linear regression examined relationships between fluoride exposure and kidney and liver parameters after covariate adjustment. A Holm-Bonferroni correction accounted for multiple comparisons.

Results: The average age of adolescents was 15.4 years. Median water and plasma fluoride concentrations were 0.48 mg/L and 0.33 μmol/L respectively. A 1 μmol/L increase in plasma fluoride was associated with a 10.36 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} lower estimated glomerular filtration rate (95% CI: 17.50, 3.22; \( p = 0.05 \)), a 0.29 mg/dL higher serum uric acid concentration (95% CI: 0.09, 0.50; \( p = 0.05 \)), and a 1.29 mg/dL lower blood urea nitrogen concentration (95% CI: 1.87, 0.70; \( p < 0.001 \)). A 1 mg/L increase in water fluoride was associated with a 0.93 mg/dL lower serum uric acid (95% CI: 1.44, 0.42; \( p = 0.007 \)).

Conclusions: Fluoride exposure may contribute to complex changes in kidney and liver related parameters among U.S. adolescents. As the study is cross-sectional, reverse causality cannot be ruled out; therefore, altered kidney and/or liver function may impact bodily fluoride absorption and metabolic processes.

1. Introduction

Approximately 74% of the United States (U.S.) population that relies on public water distribution systems receives chemically fluoridated water for the purpose of preventing tooth decay (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014). The most commonly used fluoridating chemical is hydrofluorosilicic acid, although sodium fluorosilicate and sodium fluoride are used in some water treatment processes (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018). Until 2015, the recommended U.S. drinking water fluoride concentration range was 0.7–1.2 mg/L. However, this concentration was lowered in 2015 to 0.7 mg/L in part due to concerns about the rising prevalence of dental fluorosis – visually detectable changes in tooth enamel due to excess fluoride exposure during tooth development, among U.S. youth (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Federal Panel on Community Water Fluoridation, 2015; Centers for Disease Control and

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ACR, urinary albumin to creatinine ratio; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate amino transferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; SUA, serum uric acid

* Corresponding author: Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1057, New York, NY 10029, USA.

E-mail address: Ashley.malin@mssm.edu (A.J. Malin).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105012

Received 29 March 2019; Received in revised form 10 July 2019; Accepted 11 July 2019

0160-4120/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: Ashley J. Malin, et al., Environment International, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105012
Prevention, 2010).

Among healthy adults, approximately 60% of absorbed fluoride is excreted in urine by the kidneys, while the corresponding percentage among children is approximately 45% (Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011; Villa et al., 2010). The kidneys, followed by the liver, accumulate more fluoride than any other organ system in the body (National Research Council, 2006; Whitford et al., 1979). Therefore, these organs and their intersectional processes may be especially vulnerable to effects of fluoride, even among healthy individuals. Additionally, fluoride is absorbed in calcified tissues – such as bones and teeth, as well as calcium-containing glands such as the pineal gland.

While fluoride exposure in adulthood has been associated with nephro- and hepatotoxicity in animals and humans (Jimenez-Cordova et al., 2018; Sayanthooran et al., 2018), few studies have examined associations between fluoride exposure and kidney or liver function in youth. Three prior studies conducted in India, Japan and/or China found potential evidence of kidney and liver function decline in children and/or adolescents exposed to relatively high fluoride concentrations (Liu and Xia, 2005; Ando et al., 2001; Khandare et al., 2017; Xiong et al., 2007). Findings of a fourth study conducted in Mexico were inconsistent (Jimenez-Cordova et al., 2018; Jimenez-Cordova et al., 2019). The few studies conducted among young animals also demonstrated adverse renal and hepatic effects of fluoride, even at low concentrations (Shashi and Thapar, 2002; Shashi, 2001; Cardenas-Gonzalez et al., 2013; Perera et al., 2013). Taken together these findings suggest that fluoride may be developmentally nephrotoxic and hepatotoxic. However, whether these findings apply to low-level fluoride exposures relevant to U.S. youth has not been investigated.

Our study aimed to examine the relationship between fluoride exposure, measured in blood plasma and drinking water, and kidney and liver parameters among adolescents in the U.S.. We hypothesized that higher blood plasma and water fluoride concentrations would be associated with altered kidney and liver parameters in this population.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

We utilized data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) collected from 2013 to 2016, the years that publicly available fluoride biomonitoring data were collected and available at the time of analysis. NHANES is a program of studies conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention that is designed to assess health and nutrition status of a nationally representative, noninstitutionalized sample of people of all ages living in the U.S. It employs questionnaires, in-home interviews and physical examinations at mobile examination centers where blood and urine are collected (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018). This study was exempted from review by the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai’s (ISMMS) Institutional Review Board (#1702145).

Plasma fluoride concentrations were measured among 4470 participants aged 6–19 years and tap water fluoride concentrations were measured among 8087 participants aged 0–19 years. Our analysis included adolescents aged 12–19 years because the renal and hepatic parameters examined herein were not measured in children under 12, except for the urinary albumin to creatinine ratio. Our sample included participants who had either plasma or water fluoride measurements and complete data for all covariates and outcomes. Missing data were < 15% for all outcome measures, and < 10% for covariates among participants who had all outcome measures. We excluded 2 participants with suggestive kidney disease, as indicated by estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min/1.73m². Additionally, since protein intake can influence kidney and liver function test results, we excluded 1 participant with a reported daily protein intake of 0 g, and 3 participants with reported daily protein intakes > 400 g as these were considered likely to be erroneous values. There were 1985 adolescents who met inclusion criteria for analyses. Of those, 1983 participants had plasma fluoride levels and were included in analyses. For analyses of water fluoride, 1942 participants had water fluoride levels and we excluded an additional 200 participants who reported that they did not drink tap water, resulting in a sample size of 1742. Participant selection is depicted in Fig. S1. Supplemental Table S1 compares demographic characteristics of the current overall study sample (n = 1985) and all adolescents ages 12–19 over the same years (NHANES 2013–2016). We applied sampling weights to account for the complex NHANES survey design as recommended by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013). The weighted samples for plasma and water fluoride analyses represented 25,930,302 and 23,287,332 adolescents in the U.S. respectively.

2.2. Fluoride measures

Fluoride concentrations were measured in blood plasma and household tap water samples. Tap water and blood collection times were not standardized. Plasma fluoride concentrations reflect fluoride intake as well as individual differences in fluoride metabolism (Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011). Plasma fluoride was measured via an ion-specific electrode and hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDS) method, and household water samples were measured via an ion-specific electrode. Both plasma and water fluoride concentrations were measured at the College of Dental Medicine, Georgia Regents University, Augusta, GA. They were measured in duplicate (using the same sample) and the average of these values was released. The lower limit of detection (LLOD) for plasma fluoride was 0.25 nmol, while the LLOD for water fluoride was 0.10 mg/L (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2017a; National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2016a). Approximately 89% and 100% (all) of participants, had values above the LLOD for water fluoride and plasma fluoride respectively (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2016b; National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2017b).

2.3. Kidney and liver parameters

Serum was analyzed for markers of kidney and liver function at the Collaborative Laboratory Services, Ottumwa, Iowa as part of a standard biochemistry profile. From 2013 to 2016 a Beckman Coulter UniCel DxI 800 Synchron chemistry analyzer was utilized; while from 2015 to 2016 a Beckman Coulter UniCel DxI 660i Synchron Access chemistry analyzer was utilized as well. Urine samples were analyzed for albumin and creatinine at the University of Minnesota via a Turner Digital Fluorometer, Model 450 and Roche Cobas 6000 Analyzer respectively. Urine sample collection time was not standardized. All analytical results were at or above the LLOD.

2.3.1. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)

Glomerular filtration rate is considered the gold standard index of kidney function (Levey and Inker, 2016). We calculated eGFR with serum creatinine concentrations using the original Schwartz formula (Schwartz et al., 1987):

\[ eGFR = \left( \frac{k \times \text{height in cm}}{\text{creatinine in mg/dL}} \right) \]

This formula is appropriate when serum creatinine concentrations are measured via a Jaffe rate method, as the larger coefficients account for the potentially higher serum creatinine levels associated with this method. In the original formula, \( k = 0.7 \) for adolescent boys and \( k = 0.55 \) for adolescent girls or individuals < 13 years of age; whereas in the revised formula the coefficient \( k = 0.413 \). Among children, adolescents and young adults, eGFR values < 75 mL/min/1.73 m² are considered abnormal, and those < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² are reflective of chronic kidney disease (Pottel et al., 2015).
2.3.2. Serum uric acid (SUA)

Uric acid is a waste product of purine metabolism that is excreted in urine. Dysregulation of SUA levels are common in kidney and metabolic disorders. SUA was measured using a timed endpoint method. The LLOD was 0.5 mg/dL. The standard reference range for uric acid for children and adolescents aged 10–18 years is 3.5–7.3 mg/dL. For males and females over 18 years the reference ranges are 3.6–8.4 mg/dL and 2.9–7.5 mg/dL respectively (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017b).

2.3.3. Albumin to creatinine ratio (ACR)

Increased levels of urinary albumin are present with various renal diseases, including chronic kidney disease and end stage renal disease, as well as subclinical glomerular dysfunction. Urinary creatinine correlates with urinary volume and excretion rate. The albumin to creatinine ratio is used to detect kidney disease or dysfunction (Fuhrman et al., 2017). Urinary albumin was measured via a solid-phase fluorescent immunoassay (Chavers et al., 1984) and urinary creatinine was measured via an enzymatic endpoint method (University of Minnesota, 2014a). The LLLOD for urinary albumin was 0.3 μg/mL, while the reportable lower limit for urinary creatinine was 5 mg/dL (University of Minnesota, 2014a; University of Minnesota, 2014b). Among children and young adults, an ACR of < 10 mg/g is considered normal, an ACR of 20–30 mg/g is considered mildly increased, an ACR of 30 to 300 mg/g is moderately increased (termed "microalbuminuria"), and an ACR of > 300 mg/g is severely increased (termed "macroalbuminuria") (KDIGO, 2012).

2.3.4. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)

Urea is a waste product of nitrogen-containing compounds, such as amino acids, metabolized by the liver and excreted in urine. High BUN levels may reflect kidney dysfunction (e.g. reduced ability to excrete urea) whereas low BUN levels may reflect liver dysfunction (e.g. impaired protein metabolism). BUN was measured using an enzymatic conductivity rate method (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017d; Collaborative Laboratory Services, 2017a). The analytical measurement range measured via the Beckman UniCel DxC 800 Synchron was 1–150 mg/dL (or up to 300 mg/dL with ORDAC enabled). When measured with the Beckman Coulter UniCel DxC 660i Synchron it was 5–20 mg/dL (University of Minnesota, 2014a; University of Minnesota, 2014b). Among children and young adults, an ACR of < 10 mg/g is considered normal, an ACR of 20–30 mg/g is considered mildly increased, an ACR of 30 to 300 mg/g is moderately increased (termed "microalbuminuria"), and an ACR of > 300 mg/g is severely increased (termed "macroalbuminuria") (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017d; Collaborative Laboratory Services, 2017a).

2.3.5. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)

Serum aminotransferases are enzymes present in liver and cardiac tissue. Elevations can reflect hepatocyte and myocardial cell damage or disease states. AST and ALT were measured via enzymatic rate and kinetic rate methods respectively. The LLOD for both was 5.0 IU/L. The standard reference range for serum or plasma AST for people ages 10–20 years is 13–38 IU/L (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017c). The standard reference range for serum or plasma ALT are 8–29 IU/L and 8–36 IU/L for 10–20-year-old females and males respectively (Collaborative Laboratory Services, 2017c).

2.3.6. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)

ALP is an enzyme present in bone and liver cells and can be used to diagnose liver, bone and parathyroid disease. ALP was measured via a kinetic rate method. The LLOD was 5.0 IU/L. The standard reference range for serum or plasma ALP for individuals ages 12–16 is 67–382 IU/L, while for those > 16 years of age it is 36–113 IU/L (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017e).

2.3.7. Serum albumin

Albumin is synthesized in the liver and is a major component of plasma where it plays a key role in maintaining oncotic pressure. Serum concentrations can be used to assess kidney and/or liver disease or dysfunction. Serum albumin concentrations were measured via a timed endpoint method. The analytic range was 1.0–7.0 g/dL. The standard reference range for serum or plasma albumin for healthy children and adolescents aged 1–18 years is 3.1–4.8 g/dL. For individuals over 18 years it is 3.5–5.0 g/dL when measured with the Beckman Coulter UniCel DxC 660i Synchron Access chemistry analyzer and 3.7–4.7 mg/dL when measured with the Beckman Coulter UniCel DxC 800 Synchron analyzer (Collaborative Laboratory Services, 2017g; Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017b).

2.3.8. Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)

GGT is an enzyme present in hepatocytes, a sensitive indicator of liver disease and more specific to liver function than AST/ALT. Serum GGT was measured via an enzymatic rate method. The analytical range was 5–750 IU/L or up to 3000 IU/L with ORDAC enabled. The reference ranges for males and females aged 10–15 years are 7–26 IU/L and 8–23 IU/L respectively. The reference ranges for males and females > 15 years are 10–65 IU/L and 8–36 IU/L respectively (Collaborative Laboratory Services LLC, 2017a).

2.4. Covariates

Covariates were selected a priori based on prior empirical evidence associated with fluoride exposure and kidney/liver function. They included: age, sex, body mass index, race/ethnicity, the ratio of family income to poverty, and daily protein intake (Villa et al., 2010; Martinez-Mier and Soto-Rojas, 2010; Jain, 2017; Boyde and Cerklewski, 1987; Money-Mins, 2018). Additionally, we adjusted for serum cotinine level as a biomarker of tobacco smoke exposure in sensitivity analyses including only the 2013–2014 NHANES cycle, since cotinine was only assessed in the 2013–2014 cycle (see analysis Section 2.5). The ratio of family income to poverty was calculated by dividing annual family income by the poverty guidelines specific to the survey year. Daily protein intake was obtained from a 24-hour dietary recall. Although, two 24-hour dietary recalls were conducted (one in person and one via telephone), we only used protein intake estimates from the in-person interview because most of the study sample did not complete the telephone interview. Only participants whose recall estimates were determined by the NCHS to be reliable were included in this study.

2.5. Statistical analyses

All analyses applied survey weights from the mobile exam center visit (i.e. MEC weights) to account for the clustered sample design, survey non-response, over-sampling, post-stratification, and sampling error, and to permit generalization to the U. S. population (National Center for Health Statistics, 2013). Given that we utilized dietary variables as covariates and/or exclusion criteria (i.e. protein intake; tap water consumption) we applied reweighted MEC weights to our dietary sample prior to analyses according to NCHS guidance. The MEC weights were recalculated based on our dietary subsample using an adjustment factor (see Appendix A). Descriptive statistics and regression analyses were performed using SAS (V.9.4) software. We used Pearson correlation to examine the relationship between plasma and water fluoride concentrations (both log2-transformed).

Survey-weighted linear regression was used to model kidney and liver parameters as a function of plasma or water fluoride concentrations while adjusting for covariates. For regression analyses, we included laboratory generated values for water fluoride values below the LLOD; however, we imputed water fluoride values below the LLOD as LLOD/√2 in our calculation of descriptive statistics. We note that imputation (or lack thereof) did not appreciably change the results of
3. Results

Demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Table S1 compares demographics between current study participants and all adolescents in NHANES 2013–2016. The average age of participants was 15.4 years.

Descriptive statistics for fluoride and kidney and liver parameters are presented in Table 2. The mean household water fluoride concentration among participants who drank tap water fell below the recommended level (mean = 0.48 mg/L); however, values between the 75th and 95th percentiles were above this level ranging from 0.71 to 1.00 mg/L. Participants generally had normal kidney and liver function (i.e., eGFR ranged between 84 and 212 mL/min/1.73 m²). However, SUA and BUN measurements at the 5th percentile were below their respective reference ranges. Additionally, ACR values at the 95th percentile (98 participants) fell in the microalbuminuria range. Fluoride concentrations in plasma and tap water were moderately positively correlated ($r = 0.42, p < 0.001$).

3.1. Plasma fluoride regression results

In linear regression models adjusted for covariates, higher plasma fluoride concentrations were associated with lower eGFR, higher SUA, and lower BUN (B: $-10.36, 95\% CI: -17.50, -3.22, p = 0.05$; B: $0.29, 95\% CI: 0.09, 0.50, p = 0.05$; and B: $-1.29, 95\% CI: -1.87, -0.70, p < 0.001$ respectively). Therefore, a 1 μmol/L increase in plasma fluoride was associated with a 10.36 mL/min/1.73 m² lower eGFR, a 0.29 mg/dL higher SUA concentration, and a 1.29 mg/dL lower BUN concentration. Plasma fluoride concentrations were not associated with the remaining kidney or liver parameters examined herein (Table 3) (Fig. 1).

3.2. Water fluoride regression results

In linear regression models adjusted for covariates, higher water fluoride concentrations were associated with lower BUN (B = $-0.93, 95\% CI: -1.44, -0.42, p = 0.007$). Therefore, a 1 mg/L increase in household tap water fluoride concentration was associated with a 0.93 mg/dL lower BUN concentration. Water fluoride concentrations were not significantly associated with the remaining kidney or liver parameters examined herein (Table 4) (Fig. 2).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis

Associations between plasma fluoride and kidney and liver measures separated by NHANES cycle are presented in Table S2. Cotinine-adjusted associations between plasma fluoride and kidney and liver measures for NHANES 2013–2014 are presented in Table S3 (Note: 2013–2014 was the only cycle in our study with available serum cotinine data). Compared to the 2013–2014 results without cotinine adjustment (Table S2), our findings did not change appreciably when cotinine was included as a covariate in the survey-weighted covariate-adjusted regression model (Table S3). When participants with serum cotinine levels ≥10 ng/mL were excluded from the regression analysis

Table 1

Demographic characteristics according to sample participating in NHANES 2013–2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristic</th>
<th>Overall sample</th>
<th>Plasma fluoride sample</th>
<th>Water fluoride sub-samplea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs.); mean (SE)</td>
<td>15.38 (0.07)</td>
<td>15.37 (0.07)</td>
<td>15.32 (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex; N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13,672,321 (52.7)</td>
<td>13,665,854 (52.7)</td>
<td>12,494,779 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12,269,705 (47.3)</td>
<td>12,264,468 (47.3)</td>
<td>10,792,553 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI; mean (SE)</td>
<td>24.34 (0.24)</td>
<td>24.34 (0.24)</td>
<td>24.21 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI categories; N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>841,241 (3.3)</td>
<td>834,774 (3.2)</td>
<td>724,010 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>14,660,261 (56.8)</td>
<td>14,660,261 (56.8)</td>
<td>13,622,456 (57.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>4,698,550 (18.2)</td>
<td>4,698,550 (18.2)</td>
<td>4,144,842 (17.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>5,608,569 (21.7)</td>
<td>5,603,312 (21.7)</td>
<td>4,901,026 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American; N (%)</td>
<td>3,806,271 (14.7)</td>
<td>3,801,014 (14.7)</td>
<td>3,160,150 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Hispanic</td>
<td>1,953,725 (7.5)</td>
<td>1,953,725 (7.5)</td>
<td>1,635,251 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>14,544,657 (56.1)</td>
<td>14,544,657 (56.1)</td>
<td>13,382,896 (57.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>3,220,902 (12.4)</td>
<td>3,220,902 (12.4)</td>
<td>2,871,360 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian</td>
<td>1,069,372 (4.1)</td>
<td>1,069,372 (4.1)</td>
<td>967,296 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other race-including multi-racial</td>
<td>1,347,100 (5.2)</td>
<td>1,340,634 (5.2)</td>
<td>1,270,379 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily protein intake (gm)</td>
<td>75.52 (1.21)</td>
<td>75.53 (1.21)</td>
<td>75.86 (1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of family income to poverty</td>
<td>2.47 (0.10)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.10)</td>
<td>2.51 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Sampling weights were applied for calculation of demographic descriptive statistics and therefore Ns for frequencies represent the weighted sample size. Re-weighting for the dietary sample was not applied for calculation of descriptive statistics above.

a Participants who reported that they did not drink the tap water were excluded.

b n = 1972 for entire sample, n = 1970 for plasma F sample and n = 1732 for water F subsample due to missing data for this variable.
Weights were applied.

Remained consistent regardless of whether MEC weights or re-weighted MEC utilized in regression analyses. Only standard errors changed following reweighting.

SUA was relatively unchanged in magnitude or significance (uncorrected p = 0.05 after Holm-Bonferroni correction). Regression results remained consistent regardless of whether MEC weights or re-weighted MEC weights were applied.

To our knowledge, this study represents the first population-based study in the U.S. to examine the relationship between chronic low-level fluoride exposure and kidney and liver measures.

The association between plasma fluoride and eGFR had a greater magnitude of effect, but did not reach statistical significance (B: −5.50, 95%, CI: −13.77, 2.77, uncorrected p = 0.18) (Table S3). In the association between plasma fluoride and BUN, the magnitude of association was attenuated and marginally statistically significant (uncorrected p = 0.06). The association between plasma fluoride and SUA was relatively unchanged in magnitude or significance level (Table S3).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this study represents the first population-based study in the U.S. to examine the relationship between chronic low-level fluoride exposure and kidney and liver related parameters among adolescents. We included a breadth of kidney and liver measures to examine these relationships. Furthermore, we adjusted for factors that can influence fluoride exposure or absorption, kidney and liver function, or access to healthcare, such as socioeconomic status, as well as multiple comparisons. We utilized plasma fluoride concentrations as they account for both fluoride intake and individual differences in fluoride absorption and metabolism (Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011). Conversely, household tap water fluoride concentrations are unaffected by individual differences in fluoride metabolism; yet, water fluoride constitutes the primary source of U.S. fluoride exposure (Health and Ecological Criteria Division. Office of Water, 2010).

Higher plasma fluoride concentrations were associated with changes in kidney and liver related parameters. Most notably, a 1 μmol/L increase in plasma fluoride was associated with a 10.36 mL/min/1.73 m² lower eGFR. This is consistent with previous studies in which higher urinary fluoride and dental fluorosis were associated with lower eGFR among youth in China and India (Ando et al., 2001; Khandare et al., 2017). However, it is inconsistent with a recent cross-sectional study in Mexico that found an association between higher urinary fluoride and increased eGFR among 374 children (Jimenez-Cordova et al., 2018). Differing results could reflect eGFR measurement, participant age, and/or fluoride biomarkers utilized (i.e. urine vs. blood fluoride assessment). Specifically, in the study conducted in Mexico eGFR was determined from a single serum measure with the creatinine-cystatin C-based CKD equation (Schwartz et al., 2012), children were 5–12 years old, and fluoride was assessed in urine adjusted for specific gravity. We also found that adolescents with higher plasma fluoride tended to have higher SUA and lower BUN which can reflect altered kidney and liver function respectively; although, lower BUN levels can also reflect nutritional deficiencies (Kumar et al., 1972). Consistently, among adolescents who consumed tap water, those with higher household tap water fluoride concentrations tended to have lower BUN, which may indicate impaired protein metabolism.

Given the cross-sectional nature of this study, there are several possible interpretations for the findings. First, fluoride exposure may contribute to complex changes in kidney and liver parameters among U.S. adolescents. This possibility is supported by the consistency of our findings with research demonstrating a dose-response relationship between water fluoride levels above 2 mg/L and enzyme markers of liver and kidney dysfunction (Xiong et al., 2007). Although in the current study, tap water fluoride concentrations were generally below 1 mg/L. There are several mechanisms by which fluoride exposure may contribute to kidney dysfunction. First, studies with adult rats have shown that chronic low-level fluoride exposure can lead to glomerular hypercellularity and mesangial cell proliferation (Varner et al., 1998), reduced kidney enzyme activity (Sullivan, 1969), interstitial nephritis, and renal tubule hypertrophy and hyperplasia (McCay et al., 1957). Increased apoptosis and tubular epithelial damage, including necrosis, have also been observed among children with high fluoride exposures (Quadri et al., 2018). Chronic low-level fluoride exposure is also associated with decreased thyroid gland activity among children (Lin et al., 1991; Singh et al., 2014; Khandare et al., 2018) and adults (Kheradpisheh et al., 2018; Malin et al., 2018). Moreover, reduced thyroid gland function, within the clinically normal range, is associated...
with decreased eGFR (Anderson et al., 2018; Asvold et al., 2011). Thus, fluoride exposure could potentially compromise kidney function via glomerular damage, or indirectly via suppression of the thyroid gland. However, this study did not aim to determine whether fluoride exposure is associated with clinical decrements in kidney function among U.S. adolescents. Rather, this study aimed to examine subclinical changes in kidney or liver parameters associated with fluoride exposure among a generally healthy population. For example, the lowest GFR estimated in this study was 84 mL/min/1.73 m², and therefore none were below the < 75 mL/min/1.73 m² value considered reflective of abnormal kidney function. Future prospective studies including participants with and without kidney disease are needed to assess clinical changes in kidney or liver function. Additionally, if fluoride exposure does contribute to changes in kidney or liver parameters, future prospective studies are needed to examine critical windows of vulnerability for these effects; in particular, it is unknown whether these changes may result from early life exposures during vital stages of kidney and liver development, from cumulative exposure, or both.

An alternative interpretation for our findings is that poorer kidney function may contribute to increased plasma fluoride levels rather than resulting from them. This possibility is supported by our finding that water fluoride concentrations were not associated with kidney parameters. Furthermore, animals and humans with impaired renal function tend to have higher levels of bone and plasma/serum fluoride because

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Unstandardized beta (95% CI)</th>
<th>Uncorrected p</th>
<th>Holm-Bonferroni corrected p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>-1.03 (-2.93, 0.87)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUA</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.07, 0.18)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.07, 0.06)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>-0.93 (-1.44, -0.42)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.04, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>-0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.12, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.04, 0.02)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>&gt; 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Regression analyses were adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, body mass index, ratio of family income to poverty and daily protein intake. Sampling weights were applied to these regression analyses; N = 23,287,332; unweighted n = 1742; MEC weights were re-weighted to our dietary sample for regression analyses.

Participants who reported not drinking tap water were excluded from these analyses.

Water fluoride was log2 transformed in this model.

Water fluoride and outcome variables were log2 transformed.

Significant at p ≤ 0.05 after Holm-Bonferroni correction; Regression results remained consistent regardless of whether MEC weights or re-weighted MEC weights were applied.
they do not excrete fluoride as readily (Turner et al., 1996; Waterhouse et al., 1980; Rao and Friedman, 1975). However, plasma fluoride, rather than water fluoride, may have been associated with kidney function parameters in this study because it may better reflect individual fluoride exposure.

A third possibility is that the relationship between fluoride exposure and kidney function is bidirectional or cyclical in nature; whereby fluoride hinders kidney function which contributes to decreased fluoride excretion, increased bodily fluoride absorption and further decrements in kidney function. Indeed, soluble fluoride that is not excreted in urine is ultimately absorbed in hard and soft tissues, such as bones or organ systems (including the kidneys) respectively (Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011). Moreover, fluoride urinary excretion rates tend to be lower among children (Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011; Villa et al., 2010) because more fluoride is absorbed in bone in the growing skeletal system (National Research Council, 2006b). Therefore, increases in plasma fluoride could render children more vulnerable to other health effects of fluoride exposure. Indeed, adults and children with kidney disease have been shown to be at an increased risk of bone disease and severe dental fluorosis respectively, due to increased skeletal fluoride absorption (Ibarra-Santana et al., 2007; Lucas and Roberts, 2005; Johnson and Jowsey, 1979).

Fluoride’s effects on the liver are less well-characterized; however, animal studies have shown that low-level fluoride exposure can increase fatty deposits in the liver (de Camargo and Merzel, 1980), affect liver protein expression (Pereira et al., 2013; Pereira et al., 2018) and cause necrosis. High fluoride exposures can cause vacuolization of hepatocytes, dilated and hypertrophic liver tissue (Shashi, 2001), increased oxidative stress and oxidative damage (Atmaca et al., 2014; Xiao-ying and Sun, 2003; Perera et al., 2018), necrosis and altered liver enzyme activity. In this study, fluoride exposure was not associated with liver enzyme levels; however, higher concentrations of both water and plasma fluoride were associated with lower BUN. Taken together, these findings suggest that fluoride exposure may contribute to subclinical decrements in liver function. We speculate that this could potentially occur via interference by fluoride with liver amino acid metabolism or protein synthesis (Chattopadhyay et al., 2011). Additionally, since lower BUN levels may indicate protein malnutrition (Kumar et al., 1972), we also speculate that our findings may reflect subclinical interference of gastrointestinal processes by fluoride, although protein intake in our sample was within ‘normal’ ranges for adolescents on average. While high fluoride exposures have been shown to damage gastric mucosa (Spak et al., 1990), to our knowledge, no human studies have examined gastrointestinal effects of low fluoride exposures. Mechanistic studies are needed to understand underlying mechanisms of potential hepatotoxic and/or gastrointestinal effects of fluoride.

This study had several limitations. First, since this study is cross-

---

**Fig. 2.** Associations between water fluoride and kidney and liver measures.

Each figure depicts a regression line with 95% confidence intervals; circles represent individual data points. Sample weighted regression analyses were adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, body mass index, ratio of family income to poverty and daily protein intake (Participants who reported not drinking tap water were excluded; N = 23,287,332; unweighted n = 1742). Water fluoride and outcome variables were log2 transformed for analyses with albumin/creatinine ratio, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate amino transferase and gamma-glutamyl transferase. Water fluoride was log2 transformed for the analysis with eGFR. Cook’s distance estimates were used to test for influential data points; none were identified.
sectional, the directionality of relationships cannot be determined, particularly for associations of plasma fluoride and kidney/liver parameters. Therefore, additional longitudinal studies are needed to better understand the developmental nephro- and hepatotoxicological impacts of fluoride, and to parse directionality of these associations. Regardless, this study contributes important information regarding how plasma fluoride levels change in association with subclinical changes in kidney and liver parameters (or vice versa) in the U.S. population which was previously unreported. Second, blood sample collection time was not standardized; however, exposure misclassification based on collection time is more likely to bias estimates toward the null. Therefore, we consider it unlikely that lack of standardization for blood collection led to ‘false positive’ findings. Third, we did not have data on smoke exposure for participants in NHANES cycle 2015–2016 and therefore could not adjust for this in our main analyses. Still, we conducted sensitivity analyses adjusting for serum cotinine, a biomarker of nicotine exposure, and this did not change the findings. Therefore, even though smoking status may influence plasma fluoride levels (Jain, 2017) and kidney/liver function, it was likely not a confounder in this study. Still, we had a limited dataset with which to examine this possibility so we cannot rule it out completely. Fourth, we did not control for physical activity level or alcohol consumption in our analyses as data for these variables were not available for the majority of our sample. Lastly, we could not examine whether associations between fluoride exposure and kidney and liver parameters differed geographically as geographic locations of participants are not publicly available.

While the dental benefits of fluoride are widely established (O’Mullane et al., 2016), recent concerns have been raised (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Federal Panel on Community Water Fluoridation, 2015; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2010; Aguilar-Díaz et al., 2017) regarding the appropriateness of its widespread addition to drinking water or salt in North America. The current study suggests that there may be potential nephro- and hepatological health concerns to consider when evaluating fluoride use and appropriate levels in public health interventions. However, we emphasize that future studies are required to overcome the limitations of a single cross-sectional study.

4.1. Conclusion

Fluoride exposure may contribute to complex changes in kidney and liver related parameters among adolescents in the United States. However, as the study is cross-sectional, reverse causality is possible and altered kidney and liver function may impact bodily fluoride absorption and metabolic processes. Further studies are needed to examine the mechanisms by which chronic low-level fluoride exposure may impact kidney and liver related parameters during development and adolescent life stages, as well as the ways in which kidney and liver function influence bodily fluoride absorption.
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Appendix A

To better account for the reduced sample size of the dietary recall dataset used in analyses herein, mobile exam center (MEC) weights were re-weighted using an adjustment factor, as detailed below, according to NCHS guidance:

1. Sum the MEC weights of the domain = Σ domain, where ‘domain’ refers to the gender, race and/or age group of participants who meet inclusion criteria prior to reducing to the dietary sample.
2. Sum the MEC weights for study participants (SPs) in dietary sample = Σ SP
3. Calculated adjustment factor = Σ Domain/Σ SPs
4. For SPs in the dietary sample, the new derived weights are equal to the MEC weight multiplied by the adjustment factor of the domain. For SPs not in the dietary sample, the new derived weight was set to missing.

Appendix B. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105012.
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