

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

February 14, 2013

Gerald Steel, PE 7303 Young Road NW Olympia, WA 98502

Dear Mr. Steel:

This is in response to your letter of December 28, 2012 to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson in which you asked several questions about the status of an MOU between EPA and the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) published in 1979. I am replying on behalf of her.

Your first question is whether, from the viewpoint of EPA, the purpose of a 1979 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between EPA and the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) was "to take away from FDA, and give to EPA, responsibility for regulating public drinking water additives intended for preventative health care purposes and unrelated to contamination of public drinking water?" Your second question is whether, if that was the purpose of the 1979 MOU, the MOU was terminated through a subsequent Federal Register notice.

The answer to your first question is no, so there is no need to address your second question. The purpose of the MOU was not to shift any responsibilities between the Agencies. Rather, it was to help facilitate effective coordination of our respective legal authorities. Under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), EPA is the lead federal agency with responsibility to regulate the safety of public water supplies. EPA does not have responsibility for substances added to water solely for preventative health care purposes, such as fluoride, other than to limit the addition of such substances to protect public health or to prevent such substances from interfering with the effectiveness of any required treatment techniques. SDWA Section 1412(b)(11); see also A Legislative History of the Safe Drinking Water Act, Committee Print, 97th Cong, 2d Session (February 1982) at 547. The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), acting through the FDA, remains responsible for regulating the addition of drugs to water supplies for health care purposes.

The 1979 MOU was intended to address contamination of drinking water supplies as a result of direct or indirect additives to drinking water, not to address the addition of substances solely for preventative health purposes. 44 Fed. Reg. 42775 (July 20, 1979) ("EPA and FDA agree: (1) that *contamination* of drinking water from the use and application of direct and indirect additives and other substances poses a potential public health problem...")(emphasis added). It was intended to avoid potentially duplicative regulation of "food", which FDA had, in the past, considered to include drinking water. 44 Fed. Reg. 42775 (July 20, 1979). The MOU did not address drugs or other substances added to water for health care purposes.

Gerald Steel, PE February 14, 2013 Page 2

I hope that this has adequately answered your inquiry. Please do not hesitate to contact Carrie Wehling of my staff (202-564-5492) if you have further questions about this.

Sincerely,

Steven M. Neugeboren Associate General Counsel

Water Law Office