Effect of fluoride exposure on the intelligence of school children in Madhya Pradesh, India
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the relationship between exposure to different drinking water fluoride levels and children’s IQ in Madhya Pradesh state, India. Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among 12-year-old school children of Madhya Pradesh state, India. The children were selected from low (< 1.5 parts per million) and high (≥1.5 parts per million) fluoride areas. A questionnaire was used to collect information on the children’s IQ, personal characteristics, residential history, medical history, educational level of the head of the family, and socioeconomic status of the family. Levels of lead, arsenic, and iodine in the urine and the levels of fluoride in the water and urine were analyzed. The children’s IQs were measured using Raven’s IQ Standard Progressive Matrices. Data analysis was done using the chi-square, one way analysis of variance, simple linear regression, and multiple linear regression tests. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results: Differences in participant’s IQ scores were analyzed. Socio-demographic characteristics, urinary iodine, urinary lead, and urinary arsenic levels were statistically non-significant (P>0.05). However, a statistically significant difference was observed in the urinary fluoride levels (P <0.001). Reduction in intelligence was observed with an increased water fluoride level (P<0.001). The urinary fluoride level was a significant predictor for intelligence (P<0.001). Conclusion: Children in endemic areas of fluorosis are at risk for impaired development of intelligence.
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Introduction

Fluoride is known to have both beneficial and adverse effects on humans. [1] Introduction of systemic and topical fluorides into the prevention and control of dental caries denotes the most significant issue in dentistry. Fluorides have brought a considerable decline in the prevalence of dental caries, especially in countries with advanced economies. [2] However, in the 1980s it was established that fluoride controls caries mainly through its topical effect. [3] Drinking water is usually, but not always, the main source of fluoride. [1] Elevated concentration of naturally occurring fluoride in drinking water is a worldwide problem. Many Asian countries including India have reported a concentration of fluoride exceeding the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines values or their prevailing national standards. [4] Sixty million Indians are living in about 200 districts of 20 states in endemic areas of fluorosis. [5] Dental and skeletal effects associated with fluoride, in humans, are well documented. [4,5] Also, the existing literature reports the neurological consequences associated with exposure to fluoride. In children, most reported effects are on the cognitive capacities, particularly intelligence reduction. [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11] On the other hand studies conducted by Hu et al. [8] and Spittle et al. [12] have not found any trend or correlation between fluoride and the intelligence of children.

The majority of studies that show a correlation between a lower Intelligence Quotient (IQ) and elevated fluoride intake are from China. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one piece of literature [9] published from the Indian subcontinent, which shows that fluoride exposure has an effect on the intellectual function of children. Many of the published studies have methodological limitations, like no consideration for the effect of lead, arsenic, iodine deficiency, socioeconomic status (SES) or nutritional status of the children.

Keeping in mind the above-mentioned confounders, the current study has been designed to assess the relationship between exposure to different drinking water fluoride levels and children's intelligence in Madhya Pradesh state, India.

Materials and Methods

Prior to the study, a detailed study protocol was submitted to the Ethics Committee of the institution. After obtaining the ethical approval, a pilot study was conducted in high and low fluoride areas. Based on the results of a pilot study, to achieve a 5% level of significance and 80% power, the sample size for the present study was calculated using Power and Precision v. 4 (Biostat Inc., Englewood, USA).

Study population

This cross-sectional study was conducted among 12-year-old school children of Madhya Pradesh state, India. The subjects were selected by a stratified cluster sampling of areas, according to the fluoride concentration in the groundwater (<1.5 parts per million (PPM) and ≥1.5 PPM), based on the geological survey report of the Government of India. [13][14]

On the basis of the report, 120 school children of the villages of Karera Block, Shivipur district, Madhya Pradesh state, India, were included in the high fluoride group (water fluoride level ≥1.5 PPM). Children of this group were further sub-divided into the following three groups, after fluoride analysis of their drinking water; (1) 1.5 - 3.0 PPM (n=39), (2) 3.1 - 4.5 PPM...
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(\textit{n}=43, and (3) \textgreater=4.5 PPM (\textit{n}=38). Fifty school children from Parwaliya village, Bhopal district, Madhya Pradesh state, India, were included in the low fluoride group (water fluoride level <1.5 ppm). The selected villages were similar in population and general demographic characteristics. The selected children were from government schools and were in the fifth or sixth class.

Participation in the study was voluntary. Informed consent for the same was obtained from the parents and children.

Children who were not lifelong residents of that area, those who had in change of source of water since birth, and had a history of congenital or acquired neurological disease and/or head injury, were excluded from the study.

A questionnaire, completed with the assistance of parents, was used to collect information on the personal characteristics (age, sex, height, weight), residential history, medical history, including illness affecting the nervous system and head trauma, educational level of the head of the family (in years), and SES of the family. The SES was recorded according to the Pareek and Trivedi classification. [15] The Pareek and Trivedi scale graded the SES into three categories; (1) Low (2) Middle, and (3) High.

The nutritional status of the children was calculated using the Waterlow’s classification. [16] It defines two groups for malnutrition; (1) Height for age ratio (chronic condition) (2) Weight for Height (acute condition). In both the groups it categorizes the malnutrition as; (1) Normal, (2) Mildly impaired, (3) Moderately impaired, and (4) Severely impaired.

Water sample collection and analysis: A sample of 200 ml of drinking water was collected in a polyethylene bottle at each child’s home. The fluoride levels were analyzed by a fluoride ion selective electrode, Orion 9609BN (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., West Palm Beach, United States).

Urine sample collection and analysis: Each subject was also asked to collect a sample of their first morning urine. The fluoride content in the urine was determined using a fluoride ion selective electrode, Orion 9609BN (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., West Palm Beach, United States). Lead and Arsenic were analyzed using the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, Wellesley, United States). Urinary iodine was measured using the Dunn method. [17]

Assessment of intelligence: Children’s intelligence was measured using the Raven’s Standard Progressive Matrices. [18] The children’s scores were converted to percentile and specific grades were allotted, based on the following criteria:

Grade I: Intellectually superior – If the score lies at or above the ninety-fifth percentile for that age group.

Grade II: Definitely above average – If the score lies at or above the seventy-fifth percentile for that age group.

Grade III: Intellectually average – If the score lies between the twenty-fifth and seventy-fifth percentile for that age group.

Grade IV: Definitely below average in intellectual capacity – If the score lies at or below the twenty-fifth percentile for that age group.

Grade V: Intellectually impaired – If the score lies at or below the fifth percentile for that age group.

As stated above, the grades and intelligence are inversely related in the Raven’s Standard Progressive Matrices.

Statistical analysis

SPSS v.17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used for the statistics. Data was statistically analyzed using the chi-square, one way analysis of variance, simple linear regression, and the multiple linear regression tests. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The sociodemographic characteristics of the children, with different levels of water fluoride, are presented in [Table 1]. There were almost an equal number of boys and girls in each group. The SES was between middle and high. Mean years of education of the head of the family were seven. Height for age ratio and weight for height ratio revealed that most of them were in the mild to moderately impaired category. No statistically significant differences were observed in the participants' gender proportion, SES, education of the head of the family, height for age ratio, or weight for height ratio. (Table 1)

[Table 2] shows the levels of urinary fluoride, iodine, lead, and arsenic in children with different levels of water fluoride. A statistically significant difference was observed in urinary fluoride levels between four groups. Differences in urinary iodine, urinary lead, and urinary arsenic were not significant. (Table 2)

The mean intelligence grades of the children with different levels of water fluoride are presented in [Figure 1]. The lowest mean grade was observed with water fluoride level <1.5 PPM. The highest mean grade (worst intelligence) was observed with water fluoride level >4.5 PPM. The differences for intelligence grades were statistically significant between the four groups (ANOVA=22.130, P=0.000). [Figure 2] shows simple linear regression analysis, with urinary fluoride as a dependent variable. There was a statistically significant relationship of the water fluoride level with the urinary fluoride level (R=0.995, R 2 =0.991, ANOVA=17897.210, P=0.000). When simple linear regression analysis was performed, with intelligence grades as the dependent variable, a significant relationship of intelligence grades was observed with the water fluoride level (Figure 3), R=0.534, R 2 =0.286, ANOVA=67.14, P=0.000) and urinary fluoride level [Figure 4], R=0.542, R 2 =0.294, ANOVA=69.94, P=0.000. However, in stepwise multiple linear regression analysis the only significant independent variable was urinary fluoride [Table 3] (Figure 1) (Figure 2)(Figure 3)(Figure 4)(Table 3)

Discussion

This study indicates that exposure to fluoride is associated with reduced intelligence in children. We have found a significant inverse relationship between intelligence and the water fluoride level, and intelligence and the urinary fluoride level. After adjusting for confounders, urinary fluoride was the significant predictor for intelligence. The kidneys are the principal organs for the excretion of fluoride. Fluoride in urine is a better indicator for exposure compared to water, as it integrates all the sources. [4][19] Therefore, the degree of exposure to fluoride in the present study has been checked by analyzing the urinary fluoride level.

The impact of fluoride on intelligence has been reported by a number of studies. Many of them have several shortcomings, like the lack of adjustment for confounders, no biological markers, no quantification for other neurotoxic pollutants, and so on. Despite all of that, these studies suggest that fluoride negatively impacts intelligence. [4][8][7][8][9][10][11]

Studies have shown that exposure to lead and arsenic has been associated with a decline in intellectual function in children. [4][10] Also, the education level of parents, SES of the family, [4][8][7][8] nutrition, [20][21] and iodine deficiency [7][8] affect the intellectual ability of children. Therefore, these factors were considered in the present study. In the present study lead and arsenic were within the documented safe zone (Normal human values – lead \textless=50 \textmu g, [22][23] arsenic \textless=100 \textmu g) [24][25]. Moreover, differences in the urinary lead and arsenic levels of children with different levels of water fluoride were not significant. These findings indicated that the observed deficits in intelligence could not be attributed to lead and arsenic exposure. Data from previous studies could lend support to the hypothesis that interaction between fluoride, lead, and arsenic could worsen the children's intelligence grades, [4] and thus, indicate a need for further investigation. In a low iodine area, impairment of intelligence could occur through the development of hypothyroidism or clinical or subclinical cretinism. [17] In our study, we could not find a significant difference in the urinary iodine levels of children with different levels of water fluoride. Also, as per the WHO...
It is very well established that fluoride can penetrate the blood brain barrier. [6],[7],[8],[9],[11],[28] Also, it can pass through the placenta to the fetus, [6],[7],[8],[9],[11],[29] and with subsequent continuous exposure to fluoride during childhood, it may have adverse effects on the developing brain, thereby causing decreased intelligence in children. [8],[7],[8],[9],[11]

The biomechanism of the action of fluoride in reducing intelligence is still not clear. However, there is evidence that it may alter the concentration of the membrane lipid and cause a reduction in the cholinesterase activity in the brain. This may lead to altered utilization of acetylcholine, affecting the transmission of nerve impulses in the brain tissue. [30],[31],[32] NaF has been found to alter the levels of dopamine, serotonin, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid, homovanilic acid, norepinephrine, and epinephrine, in the hippocampus and neocortex regions of the rat brain. [33] Yu et al. demonstrated changes in neurotransmitters and their receptors in the fetal brain from the endemic fluorosis area. [7] Thyroid hormones play an important role in the development of the brain. In a study, Susheela et al. found that elevated fluoride uptake may cause iodine deficiency in fluorotic individuals, even when they reside in non-iodine deficient areas. [34]

Limitations: In this cross-sectional study, we have analyzed the fluoride in urine, a biomarker of recent exposure. We have not analyzed for historical markers such as dental fluorosis. We assume that the exposure scenario has not changed over time and current exposure to fluoride in drinking water can be used as a proxy for past exposure. We have analyzed a single morning urine sample, instead of a 24-hour urine sample. However, the studies of Villa et al. [35] and Opydo-Szymczak and Borysewicz-Lewicka [36] reveal that there is a close relationship between the concentration of fluoride in the first morning sample and in the 24-hour specimen. Children's intelligence can be influenced by inheritance. Children in our study group attend school and are therefore exposed to different levels of fluoride, while not at home. Although the children who had changed their water source since birth were excluded in the present study, we could not completely exclude the influence of recall bias. Moreover, fluoride level in a particular water source may change over a period of years. Therefore, we emphasize the need for a more careful evaluation of the effect of fluoride on intelligence.

In conclusion, data from this research supports that children exposed to fluoride are at risk for impaired development of intelligence. Millions of children around the world are exposed to high concentration of fluoride in water, and are therefore, potentially at risk. For the benefit of the upcoming generations, urgent attention needs to be focused on this substantial public health problem.
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