



David.Menotti@shawpi
ttman.com

01/21/04 03:11 PM

To: Rich Leukroth/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
cc: Robert.J.Giraud@USA.dupont.com, Gautam
Srinivasan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: 1) Section IX language and 2) QA R5

Rich et al.

What you suggest is OK.

David E. Menotti
202-663-8675

Leukroth.Rich@epam
ail.epa.gov
David.Menotti@shawpittman.com
Robert.J.Giraud@USA.dupont.com,
01/21/2004 03:07
Srinivasan.Gautam@epamail.epa.gov
PM
language and 2) QA R5

To:
cc:
Subject: Re: 1) Section IX

Two points:

1) Thanks for the "space holder" text. I have faithfully replaced the [] text with your space holder. EPA believes that it is necessary to include the original text that is under discussion. I have put the original text in red to highlight that this is what the drafting committee is discussing. Here's what it looks like:

*** FOLLOW-ON DISCUSSION POINT FOR DRAFTING COMMITTEE:
[SUMMARY: Discussions are continuing on: (a) appropriate tailoring of GLP requirements to meet the special needs of this test program, and (b) a table providing guidance for the development of quality assurance project plans.]

{original text under discussion}
C. All testing required by this ECA must be conducted in accordance with the EPA Good Laboratory Practice Standards (GLPS) found at 40 CFR part 792.

Richard W. Leukroth, Jr.
Environmental Scientist / Toxicologist
Chemical Control Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Stop 7405; Room 4328 S
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Phone: 202-564-8167
FAX: 202-564-4765
E-mail: leukroth.rich@epa.gov

David.Menotti@shaw

pittman.com
Leukroth/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Robert.J.Giraud@USA.dupont.com
language
01/21/04 12:06 PM
To: Rich
cc:
Subject: Section IX

Rich:

Here is the language that we want as the "space holder" in Section IX for the GLP and QAPP basket of issues:

"[Discussions are continuing on: (a) appropriate tailoring of GLP requirements to meet the special needs of this test program, and (b) a table providing guidance for the development of quality assurance project plans.]"

This "space holder" would appear after line 21 on page 9 of the current draft, in place of everything that appears thereafter in that draft, up to the beginning of Section X.

As we explained in the call, we will not agree to the inclusion of the language currently in the draft after line 21 in any consensus document for distribution to the Technical Working Groups at the end of this week.

David

David E. Menotti
202-663-8675

This electronic message transmission contains information from the law firm Shaw Pittman LLP that may be confidential or privileged. The information is intended solely for the recipient and use by any other party is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (1.202.663.8000, or in the UK, 020 7847 9500) or by electronic mail at postmaster@shawpittman.com. Thank You.

This electronic message transmission contains information from the law firm Shaw Pittman LLP that may be confidential or privileged. The information is intended solely for the recipient and use by any other party is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (1.202.663.8000, or in the UK, 020 7847 9500) or by electronic mail at postmaster@shawpittman.com. Thank You.