INDUSTRIAL FLUOROSIS

Åq

. Ж. Carnow Chicago, and Illinois S. Conibear

SUMMARY: In employed wor the history of bones findings highly とりめのなり culoskeletal disease of bones and joints findings of skeletal Q) Q) fluoride incidence well as the x-ray findings we the significant relationship noride was established with the control of the contr and neck In 1242 workers o O Ph O.F fractures, of ne-ne x-ray findings 1242 apparently healthy and ers of a Canadian aluminum f musculoskeletal symptoms, fractures, of neck and back surgery, in the absence fluorosis. ರ ಭ fractures past history were ಕ್ಷ ಕ್ಷಮ symptoms, and back s 0 symptoms O.F frequency reviewed. exposure to requency of and the D O facility, diseases actively surgery, typical O m⁴ the *****

tive manifestations recommended and d Monitoring degenerative prior exposed workers for the early of "musculoskeletal fluorosis" is for the development of destructor to the development of destructor to the development of destructors. changes р О t'ne skeleton.

Introduction

Workers, smelter, back and ty of the vertebrae along with extensive fibrocartilaginous attachments. The mosways found in the vertebral column and possional states on fluoride workers have reported additional groups in some instances with crippling effects extensive undertook aluminum Danish neck smelters. involvement vertebrae al ω concerned neck problems and the support of a health labor cryolite es with crippling effects, rs. CASAW, the Canadian As union which represented to ned about the increasing nu O Ph workers the kers in 1932, Moller e musculoskeletal system incleantion o effects st on fluoride effects, in ...groups of most seve study excessive numbers severe intoxication, cases workers their О М Since the exposed ion of Sm ь О manifestations exposure smelter Gudjonsson including r bone ם members Smelter a O H other Ç abnormalities, appearance 11gaments workers to fluor fluorides earance of scientific rkers with fluorides, radioopaci and were aluminum found Allied | |----|Do and ۳ 5

versely 0 1 8 methods, musculoskeletal The Ö affected overall <u>а</u> whether examination the objective system. health tion of exposure **О** ano 0 the O Lt i i i i i findings toxic study workers. substances Sas 9 Q the This determine, s presentation will effects of fluorides Ħ t n o smelter фţ epidemiolo-Will had limit р С. 9

Material and Methods

emitted The smelter 4 - 5 k er produced kilograms o of ni excess fluoride O Hh per 800 tons 0 0 aluminum aluminum per (day. the am-

From the sented at ርያ ተጉ University t the llth (Conference 0f linois œ, Medical the I.S.F.R. Center, Dresden, Chicago, GDR, Illinois April Pre-1981.

bient (1) (1) (1) H P used. þ Stud Soderburg ಂಗಡ

でなっ those worked Eligibility seniority list luded lat the seligible from the study smelter fo 1 1 1 1 1 1 Å of these hour r or were ام الا ال ٦ three employee teria, those months participa 9 ດ Study ដ្ឋា disabilit the date leave 1242 v of or onset workers, 8 8 8 8 8 8 and selected of the st those who ers, 85% c study. from) had

provide collected smoking ided о В В name information individual marital birthdate status. isked i A ethnic An interviewer E O dical and D S S racial administ l background, administered toms questionnaire d questionnaire previous cigarette which work

Have Have Have you you you ever eve eve 13 1 had пa spinal low bad neck ow bac surgery fusion? usion? If surgery? Sery? If s 00 JII. v what °OS at year? what yea hat year? year?

than lowing nonce star ting employment answering work counted were Workers only ond categoriz who ወ ው ርፌ had α υ surger having of f se questions had back ~~ Ω m multiple neck Ħ, ತ್ತಿ ೬೯೪ t n e surgery 9 years more

onc PH_ mor. 0 0 Those asions answering following S 70 ar 77 0 0 were more counted fractures res on only

counted condition S O any physician other in that they had arthritis significant musculoskelet if they anomal. al ke diseases, worker arthritis were <u>a</u> asked gout medical ami if back 0 7 7 hey the trouble, oblems. more had past O Hh ever history slipped disc Workers were these five been tola

Musculoskeleta musculoskeletal pro zero to f symp and the SCOT per month, ifteen fness ed as toms mus three symptoms O seven present zero if i was these **├**~ Sea occurring for for as categorized considered a h occurred problems back, responses, Сţ Д more never stiffness r one if than daily daily which three high varied ₩. 20 Ç O H Worker included low frequency present the Was times from score joint problem occurr frequency, present per month , 15, E and that 드 put regarding hack or resenting l, Dac s S reas U D time. time one less s none joints, Ø score Ö Þ than daily, 0 ine score, of the five all of symptoms neck pain score of three were pain, eight O Hh times O Hh

H W H bу ied adiologist who xposure ΑP knew only bone (D) teness, -rays, and done se X cortical those and of categowere the

thickening, hyperostosis, ments. Those considered specific bone surgery, surgery, renal cabnormalities, is, blurring ed possibly a calculi, oth scoliosis other is and of margins and calcification of liga-abnormal included fractures, evidence her soft calcifications, "other" non-and lipping of vertebral bodies. soft cal

characterized 1, and 2 respe posure posure ration E C C C frequency O each worker arrive used to categorize Exposure Risk e risk index H 14 groups: er in each job at an exposure distribution o respectively fluoride (2) (2) , usitu for each 10 10 17 each the each and multiplied b over his ere risk index. moderate entire worker. Two දු දු factors musculoskeletal **0** cohort category entire low. An Å, estimate into the () () () employment purposes These (S) low, the duration of the were weighted as exposure 片 medium, and establishing the level of c 0 h m analysis, ... -isk index S H e the exposure smelter high exconcent 0.25, e D a D D O H

Same 80 F4 workers years we ke **60** Workers true **0** under older. of the were t b e 9 divided ය ලා ග category The 0 group of 20 a more. into Ļ-ļ <u>a</u> 10. ages TOUT years these 18-30 were dnoz8 were ₩, therefore used ᅇ since 30, because relatively included. س سے -40, very ₩ \$ few few , 0, The work-


Results


History relationship fluoride and compared those tory 8 0 1 history. medium tionship is main differences were category groups with minimal commencing after employme and low fluoride exposure the relations as a seed to examine the relationship. musculoskeletal to medium and to of exposure. The i O he differences suggest a dire maintained of Musculc compare Musculoskeletal ween a history tained across significant. exposure direct the frequency The e frequency of a disease Exposure groups. the relationship the lowest, and between comparíson relation reveals se and all as 1 Disease ਜ਼ ਅ ਜ਼ਿ isease: Tab 00 00 00 ង្គ striking between between e E a histo groups. 2 1 1 1 increase med De c sme an increase se in exposu dium ween ity of differences ium compared ter in workers Mantel-Haenszel those) (0 O M those sdnozs disease shown musculoskeletal heavily onces in exposure. Figure in the H. in a past ure. This and and O Table past exposed he highest the lowest medium ď in the high, chi-square **|--**exposure examine medical relahis high, With <u>م</u>م ښا and o C the

Ç 9 differences Table Musculoskeletal and number of com highest made N with and showing the exposure. the Figure complaints of level (themselves Ç The r け の ド of and Complaints: musculoskeletal results esults were staparticularly in atistically ው ርጉ symptoms fluoride Comparison older exposure þ significant, tage group with Ċ, the the past frequen-ast year Ω Ω ¥ shown the


results sdnozs at the s and revealed neck smelter strikingly Back 0 p rugly positive. surgery in the Surgery: with previously the level c the younger \triangleright O Ph and Figure comparison risk D D exposure on of the groups performed compare ned since com to fluoride. high e Ch Ç frequency increased, Low equency of commencing risk The

Volume October 1981 Number





Ź quency wre 3 k and 1239)



Frequenc




Table 1 Frequency of a History of Musculoskeletal Diseases Commencing after Employment in the Smelter

	Age:	18 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51+	
Exposure Groups	Total Number	Positive History (%)	Positive History(%)	Positive History(%)	Positive History(%)	Mantel- Haenszel 🖁
High	417	33.3% (5/15)	34.6% (27/78)	50% (96/192)	51.5% (68/132)	42.90
Low	407	7.1% (19/268)	8.9% (8/90)	13.2% (5/38)	27.3% (3/11)	x<0.001
Medium	415	23.0% (46/200)	22.4% (30/134)	40.8% (20/49)	43.8% (14/32)	37.43
Low	407	7.1% (19/268)	8.9% (8/90)	13.2% (5/38)	27.3% (3/11)	χ<0.001
High	417	33.3% (5/15)	34.6% (27/78)	50% (96/192)	51.5% (68/132)	5.00
Medium	415	23.0% (46/200)	22.4% (30/134)	40.8% (20/49)	43.8% (14/32)	χ≥0.05 χ<0.025

Table 2
Frequency of Complaints of Musculoskeletal Symptoms

	Age:	18 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51+	
Exposure Groups	Total Number	*High Frequency(%)	High Frequency(%)	High Frequency(%)	High Frequency(%)	Mantel- Haenszel x
High	410	0.0% (0/15)	5.1% (4/78)		10.2% (13/128)	1,954
Low	381	2.1% (5/243)	6.7% (6/89)	2.6% (1/38)	0.0% (0/11)	χ×0.05
Medium	414	11.6% (23/199)	9.0% (12/134)	10.2% (5/49)	12.5% (4/32)	14.92
Low	.381	2.1% (5/243)	6.7% (6/89)	2.6% (1/38)	0.0% (0/11)	χ<0.001
High	410	0.0% (0/15)	5.1% (4/78)	15.9% (30/189)	10.2% (13/128)	0.159
Medium	414	11.6% (23/199)	9.0% (12/134)	10.2% (5/49)	12.5% (4/32)	χ<0.10
* High fr	equence	equate a coome of	F O 1F			- -

^{*} High frequence equals a score of 8-15 points

Table 3
Frequency of Back and Neck Surgery

Exposure Groups	Age: Total Number	18 - 30 % Surgery	31 - 40 % Surgery	41 - 50 % Surgery	51+ % Surgery	Mantel- Haenszel X ²
High	417	0% (0/15)	9.0% (7/78)	9.9% (19/192)	18.9% (25/132)	10.62
Low	407	0% (0/268)	1.1% (1/90)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/11)	χ>.001 χ<.005
Medium	415	3% (6/200)	2.2% (3/134)	12.2% (6/49)	9.4% (3/32)	11.12
Low	407	0% (0/268)	1.1% (1/90)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/11)	χ<0.001
High	417	0% (0/15)	9.0% (7/78)	9.9% (19/192)	18.9% (25/132)	1,57
Medium	415	3% (6/200)	2.2% (3/134)	12.2% (6/49)	9.4% (3/32	χ>0.10

Table 4 More Fractures Occurrin

History o	of O	ne or	More	Fra	actui	ces	Occurrin
Si	nce	Emplo	yment	at	the	Sme	elter

Trenogues	Age:	18 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51+	
Exposure Groups	Total Number % Fractures		% Fractures	% Fractures	% Fractures	Mantel- Haenszel χ
High	417	6.7% (1/15)	15.4% (12/78)	17.7% (34/192)	16.7% (22/132)	15.50
Low	407	5.2% (14/268)	0% (0/90)	0% (0/38)	18.2% (2/11)	χ<0.001
Medium	415	14.5% (29/200	6.0% (8/134)	18.4% (9/49)	12.5% (4/32)	19.52
Low	407	5.2% (14/268)	0% (0/90)	0% (0/38)	18.2% (2/11)	χ<0.001
High	417	6.7% (1/15)	15.4% (12/78)	17.7% (34/192)	16.7% (22/132)	0.99
Medium	415	14.5% (29/200)	6.0% (8/134)	18.4% (9/49)	12.5% (4/32)	χ>0.10

surgical markably the sar pared compared, the categories although those same o O increase in approximately intervention in to almost 20% in (U) results compared. **5** յպ. (3 incidence 芦 e E were those % higher category repo those When not statistically oug 0 the high ಗಡಿದೆ surgery high cate h risk (and ದಿ α hy lly significant reported surger or no medium compared ខ្ល exposed. noted exposure. surgery ansodxa exposure when Ö ው ተተ p. % 0% medium (D) sdnozs 130 increased 19% incidence very p<0.5 (C) y signiand low were COM lev

significant did noe de la company biggest Insod history of one among 2 groups achieve a statis differences were SMOUS those level. have that a **P** with a Table statistically significant ಭಿತ್ರಭಿಗೆ ω different comparison of 4 presents Hour de ည သ သ seen low res occurring s medium and low F B w exposure incidence the e ដា ខ comparison ψ 00 00 high to groups, groups since level fractures of the freque ce employment fluoride exp O Hh from g D D medium O D association. 31-40 and 41 medium Ω) (*** frequency exposure Ø exposure and statistically 8 2 0. (D) (T) 41. the h ₩ 0 ₩ 50 group smel-index The

normalities, f ii j standardized ificant X-ray Findings: In conhigh to low, medium to cant differences in the e D S F) others ದ್ದ ದಿ the edi four age evidence **⊭**• four early contrast ROT frequency C C 90 studies. and the m O high to med dense t n e Ċ Ha Whereas bone above nod x-ray ium many findings described groups ರ ಭ ವ abnormalities nonspecific abrevealed comparisons ដ when 85 13

Discussion

healthy of a "su word E E only servative absent exposure, absent t n e មនុខជ he 216 46 we was a cross-sectional study, Ω Ω y and actively employed survival" population. / Ø ive estimate because of : from work when were LO Lo F 5 serious differences because who result the e their d d d medical problems failed smelter **0** to outright of the problem since illness were not exam: of considerable health, 85% of es O for between exposure groups would problems do appear, they can be ness were not examined. Further community is isolated and most ir. Since even the "controls" O O found other appear tudy, that is, and workers. It was abnormal for refusals. them. O reasons, dy, that : Hor those effort testing, rt by the eligible those who The t was, the y questioning, other 170 we not appear for **(**→ examined Further union therefore, participated left **መ** represent tend D B D O H assumed v a n a only P 0 P employment internal 00 L4 P4 some were Ö and due e e in fact supposedly <u>ወ</u> ዉ, able-bodied degree a most on vacation, CT O þ examination, C minimized examination. controls ው ው the workers, 0 B b ed Th O H constudy. were study

F F S K precisely system intrinsic personal risk une personal among ට්කුහුල් ප්රකාශ \Im quantitating toxicity on duration, toxicity of the # # # index workers. exposure exposure ₩ 0 17 the e r other organ sys, intensity, and the chemical agen E. appeared r F G K have also index l agent, t multiple C† so developed systems which (D) based multiplicity α which useful agents 9 3 and appears method act estimates entire р Р previously ဝ္ဗ exposures, useful #OH the g Oğ levels quantitating same history published organ more and O.f.

Volume 14 Number 4 October 1981

> increased on the extremiti symptoms of fluorosis. normal workers <u>a</u>ಗ್ಗಡ plaints Roholm T H O 10t 1) and by Roholm (2), and the epidemics of for seen very often today although Kaltreider for the 79 potroom workers he examined had vary luorosis. Where fluorosis or exposure to fluorosis. re is Vertebrae but in only nine eased on x-ray, All excenextremitt. extremities, and by x-rays in more ja. ossification of Åq O Hhi severely considerable classic their e L musculoskeletal disease but without Vischer et al. (6) found in 17 hea fication of spinal ligaments and outg workers ly affected studies rem y. All except shoulder, neck es remat...s of rheumatic pains. than 25% of heavily w evidence nine fluorosis describ skeletal S D D D D was density of tone complained and lower back. exposure one wy Moller and Gudjonsson Kaltreider et al. (4) found in India are ned had varying degrees of skelerosure to fluorides has the back and pains. areas. Moller the stiffness (stiffness of the back and co s. Agate et al. (5) found y exposed potroom workers w , ಸುಕ್ಕರ sutamongano heavily work setcal work pelvis of br and o classical of bony stiffness ony spurs potroom sagies com-E C spine and first 96% 96% D O

and other fir the bones by rosis describ signs 們 O workers appeared ry. ompared They prospective stu Similar They found that nonspecific behindings antedate the clanes by five to seven years and described by Roholm represent 0 imilar findings of musculoskeletar change of fluorosis in workers exposed to high level ed in a number of other studies. Of special prospective study by Zislin and Girskaya (7), s from the time they first came to work in an anonflu from the classic and bone ne changes, musculos sic x-ray changes of concluded that the the late stage of th the changes without high levels of f Of special imp rskaya (7). They nonfluoride nfluoride producing musculoskeletal syn aluminum They O Ph the importance t classic x fluorides changes fluorosis followed disease. smelter D O symptoms is the fileo. indus have 2738 Tay a D D D <u>Ы</u>.

appear a ⊒ ij (D) Ę, ₩ ₩ ដ workers resulted tablished H K K our findings he frequency of b keletal dir lation dense ear which is supported by joint disease, with a high fluoride expoship between those having back and neck increased fluoride exposure was highly s absence among e bone. Since d in reduced ex and watch for ted by our findings of a petween back and neck surp which ය ලෙ ම O.F involves s demonstrate back and neck and a past hi groups demonstrate a highly significant relationship back and neck surgery, fractures, symptoms of and a past history of diseases of the bones and so-called classic fluorosis, a disease complete exposure and these more based much neck surgery and a pas high fluoride exposure stringent findings Ö on more assic fluorosis, a disease complex was more than merely the radiologic appear ingent regulations in many countries he ofluorides, it is reasonable to examinating for dense boundings instead of waiting for dense boundings in the statement of the the statistically exposure Mantel-Ha significant surgery to fluoride past fluoride. significant, risk following t when compared chi-square anal: index. complex was This direct other h and employment The appearance conclusion examine musculo analysis between joints. relabone have enod -400 with

fluoride in the lumbar and cervical spines. Two fa sidered. In the study by Pandit (8) in 1940 of Ind posed to fluoride, it was observed that the much us were particularly susceptible to fluorotic exostosicenzi (9) found that the pattern of ¹⁸F distribution determined by the supply of blood to a bone with income those bones receiving the most blood. If this is to distribution to explain exostosis Indian h used 1 increased true, cors e E E E l left arm and wr Additionally, Ħ basket weavers the the concentration should deposition areas skeleton დ დ wrist consuffer 200 О Н بد ا 얼

going re (2) (2) Ø repeated consequence, repair 4 0 may constant increased O, areas (C) m 0 ress or traum of increased deposition o trauma of fluorides. and (t) and result metabolism requiring and, 9

dernes study armed Ф Ф М 100 1000 1000 F Ca F highly tion ferences studies quently ray density dnoza viously strength as porosis, administration actures ሮ ጋ 0 rr e often prode than strength forces receiving put rather suggests signi water. smelter equate the were fragi e E fluorides and in the highly which McClure | E3 particularly symptoms normal appears bone fracture bone opposed bone <u>დ</u> ficant carried recruits lev o O t t e given ь. О that literatur fluorides and 2 (0 significant strength. (10) may and bone. ä rr Q similar x-ray a n O fluorides i C O increase levels found this exostotic more ce associated appear more fluoride he groups were experience. to bone (D) that <u></u> Ծ, 000 from in 1944 끍 in the elderly, th. In light of the there is to whether such 9 1 1 F findings may 0 0 1 O L susceptible O Ph ထူ က လ ဝ there communities ۲. ۵ بر ال density. Because examine correlation treatment those ev-10ct not intoxication exposure were compared thickening fairly fractures (0) |---w with dense with O L multiple ය ග 2no นวทธ much evidence whether experienced ង Ö Ρ'n C) decr findings were true The data with 10 10 11 radiological high complai concern the our findint treatment 7 younger. fracture betwee F S incr 년 (0) (1) stud e e င္သ inc 000 ₹ B **E** school F indings, rying ned (1) (2) (3) eased rease (D) siderably purpose ម្ត ម្តា about high mineralization. bone might high F C Hh truly have O Hh McClure dense the Further Ž an0 important teenagers Ħ density treatment calcification amounts joint this fluoride workers levels D H further occur findings (~) (~) suggested **\$** bone and increases 0 0 0 0 increasing reported pre-possibility, cur. An early may, ⊭ት (ው (ው |found no dif-int in view of pain <u>بر</u> ت less mineraliza-O O noted than n 0 and for E one considera exposure that fluoride and absorp. O H that young mineral examined osteo study, fact, 9 **ဝ** bone bone one phys and

Given manifestations appearance. decade, changes (A) F= 80 € musculo-skeletal β t n o H W H musculoske conclusion improvements (3) (4) advanced occurrence more of the discoskeletal for vertebrae, O Hh appropriate <u>누</u> nanifestation symptoms disease in the fluorosis, be e, joints and pathology of including Work complex 0 monitor S H before environment that cΩ the other which abnorma disease classi cervi expo 17 0 0 gon degene: დ ტ ტ (C) a D D which skeletal tructures and workers turing of ad lumbar described, may quality present fluorosis HOH O th make and vertebrae bone the and which destructive 片 their ω early the variety and of and (U) |-----

Acknowledgement

& 00 00 E. essential acknowledge O the the assistance arrying out 0 of H e d d Brisebois, field examinations Mield eld Project Manager,

Bibliography

- gaments. Acta E E E E Radi Gudjonsson ئى ئ 269-< 294 Massi: 1932 ₹ 0 Fluorosis Of Bones and
- N Roholm, Review and ㅈ င္ပ the Literature H .uorine London, Intoxic e and 1937. eq en some ion . Experime Clini [cal-] -Hygienic Investigations Study with

- Conibear, Through (Rada Toksikol. 0 0 0 e of 30, and Personal, 1979. Carnow, Cumulat B.W. ive Assessment Organ Risk μ.) Ο Exposure Index. Arh. Hig. and Risk
- Health Exposure. reider, Survey M.E. 9 14:531-41 w Aluminum Workers 5 with Special ⋖ Reference p. Colwell Ö X Fluoride
- Ų, Agate, 1949 Study J.N., ly of Council Memorandum JOM, 14 ., Bell, e E Hazard G.H. Boddie No. and 22, Animals (D) nea near Industrial Fluorosis. ort William, Scotland. nary Office, London,
- Publ Finorosis. Vischer S H H Bern, 1970, pp., and Cirskaya, .. E Bernheim, Bernheim, C., Fluoride in 9 Medicine Guerdjikoff **~** W U H i i i **₽** φ. (D ischer, 。。 |---Industrial Huber
- Fluorosis. H D.M. ර් සි [I] The 5:24-28 w lfication 1971. О Н Skeletal
- Pandit 1940. Endemic ຸດຸ V ig. ir. ... Raghavachari, T.N. Fluorosis Prof. L. T. N. S., ... Subba Indian Rao, i, Med. and Krishnamurti, fed. Res. 28:533-
- Asc Jeffrey enzi, Pourne, Biochemistry Academic and Press Physiology ress, 1970. V O Hn Bone 2nd edition. . Ст
- McClure, F. o Fæl Reports, <u>د</u> م 00 Fluoride 59:48, Domestic 1944 Waters and temic Effects D C

FLUORIDE BRIEF

rr cr Bo HOE H urane ver (D) rr S osomes. Paris d bond ር ር ር no chloride Q C anes lurane (CHF20CHC1CF3) fluoride ion i form difluoromethoxydifluoroac ride ion and renally toxic amo These the e thetics. (CHF20CF2CHC1F) resul CHCIF and renally rr CO group released suggest replaced toxic undergoes enflurane from ĝ With way coacetic acid either Q ಕ್ಷ oxidative 0 design more r S anesthe tive dehalogenation in the ld (CHF2OCF2CO2H) together fluoride ion. When the the e safer istant -CHCL-() |----|---less s F Sroup だ ぬ け toxic Ler Lhe Dond, al-liver F ት መ

Burke Mechanism 1980. T. X. O · Jr De Branchflower, W Enf Ħ Iurane W \Box Metab. and Pohl, Dispos. i k

¥. ₩. ₽